| Discrepancies betw | veen Physical Job Ca | ard and Electronic Jo | b Card downloaded | from nrega.nic.in; | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Name | eJC No. | Actual No. of JC | Days worked according to eJC | No. of days worked according to physical JC | Comments |
| Village | es in Shanarapatti Blo | ck, Dindigul District; | Total Number of Pe | ople Surveyed in 4 vi | illages in Dindigul District: 100, Total Discrepancies: 24 |
| Marakkampatti Vill | age, VS Kottai Panch | ayat | | | |
| tamilselvi | 1148 | 1148 | 73 | 55 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| thangalakshmi | 1069 | 1069 | 71 | 61 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| shanmugavalli | 1287 | 1287 | 55 | 40 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| muthulakshmi | 1022 | 1022 | 108 | 100 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| subramani | 663 | 663 | 120 | 113 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| selvi | 174 | 174 | 94 | 86 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Vadakattunatti Villa | │ age, Thavasimadai Pa | anchavat | | | |
| silmbayi | 221 | 221 | 106 | 100 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the ic. |
| pathimamary | 336 | | | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| arociammal | 454 | | | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| eema mary | 311 | 311 | 0 | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| noothanaperumal | 1 | 1 & 1076 | 76 | 78 | the respondent's wife ramuthai has two job cards- 1-B and 1076. |
| chinnaponnu | 643 | 643 | 33 | 22 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| meenatchi | 287 | 287 | 34 | 48 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Avilipatti Village. A | │ vilipatti Panchayat | | | | |
| Kalishwari | 564 | 776 | 0 | 15 | The actual job card no does not match with the ejc. However, on checking the job card register it was found that 776 was the new jc no. issued to them from 2011-12. |
| Pandiammal | 238 | | | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |

| Konapatti Village, Shanar | patti Panchayat | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|
| Muthulakshmi | 1095 | 1095 | 43 | 51 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Seethaiammal | 784 | 784 | 60 | 52 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the ic. |
| vijaya kandasamy | 388 | 388 | 70 | 60 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| Sevanammal | 1164 | 1164 | 31 | 50 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| Karanthayi | 407 | 407 | 25 | 15 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| Parameshwari | 546 | 546 | 112 | | This is the mate's mother. The mate has a separate JC but her name also appears in her mother's JC and it is also marked that she worked. |
| Kaliappan | 1421 | 1421 | 28 | 16 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Mahalakshmi | 430 | 430 | 64 | 51 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| selvarani | 407 | 407 | 25 | 15 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Villages in Kandam Kallippattu Panchayat | angalam Block,, Vilur | puram District; Total | Number of People S | Surveyed in 4 | villages in Viluppuram District: 100, Total Discrepancies: 50 |
| mallika | 127 | 127 | 99 | 90 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| malar | 371 | 371 | 64 | 90 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| chandrasekar | 201 | 201 | 3 | 0 | he says he doesn't have a job card |
| karnagi | 23 | 23 | 115 | 105 | Porkilai, though mentioned in the ejc, is not part of the household Radhakrishnan, mentioned in the ejc, is dead. |
| velu | 349 | 349 | 119 | 103 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| gunasekaran | 140 | 140 | 63 | 83 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| palaniammal | 442 | 442 | 32 | | problem is that there is a person named akila mentioned as part of the household wrongly |
| uthirivel | 82 | | | | head of family deceased, but given in the ejc |
| vinyagan | 426 | | 89 | 83 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| rajavalli | 1 | | | | job card register from the panchayat has 3 names mentioned, eJC has 4, and they are 4 other names |

| Sornavur keelpathy Pand | chayat | 1 | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|-----|----|--|
| | | | | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| alliammal | 1 | 1 | 48 | 43 | |
| purani | 385 | 385 | | | one member wrongly added |
| yellammal | 170 | 170 | 81 | 55 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| manikandan | 349 | 349 | 25 | 34 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| ezhilarasi | 521 | 521 | | | one extra member mentioned in the ejc |
| mallika | 583 | 583 | 9 | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| venugopal | 64 | 64 | 21 | 33 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Kothambakkam Panchay | vat | | | | |
| azhaganathan | 148 | 148 | 94 | 70 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| kaliyaperumal | 894 | 894 | 29 | | kaliyaperumal is dead; ages are wrong |
| bhanumathi | 695 | 695 | 121 | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| devarasu | 39 | 39 | 78 | 90 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| sarasu | 537 | 537 | 22 | 90 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| rajeshwari | 743 | 743 | 17 | 40 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| saroja | 412 | 412 | 113 | 60 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| chinnarasu | 121 | 121 | 108 | | chinnarasu's wife works, but he does not. However his name also appeared as having worked. |
| mukurthmmal | 339 | 339 | 21 | 56 | the husband passed away 5 years ago, but is shown as having worked even in 2012 |
| lalitha | 717 | 717 | 5 | | has 2 jcs, 1 belonging to the place where she now lives , one from another village where she grew up |
| amirtham | 173 | 173 | 131 | | the places where she worked don't correspond; the son is shown as having worked, but he has not |
| panchacharam | 197 | 197 | 113 | 70 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| Pakkam Panchayat | | | | | |

| kurharbi | 1120 | NA (respondent does not have a job card) | 4 | 0 | she does not have a job card and has not worked for the past 2 yrs but the ejc has entries of work. |
|-------------------|------|--|----|----|---|
| abdul lathin | 721 | 721 | 8 | 8 | kani, a member of this HH is dead but the ejc has 6 days of work done by her. |
| vasugi | 67 | 67 | 39 | 25 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| kamatchi | 1804 | 1804 | 13 | 25 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| manoranjitham | 566 | 566 | 41 | 28 | manoranjitham is the head of the HH. As per the ejc there are two other members namely rajavalli and kathamuthu. Rajavalli is not a part of the HH at all and kathamuthu has not worked for the past year but the ejc has entries of work under his name. |
| sasikala | 1540 | 1540 | 55 | 36 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| lakshmi | 135 | 135 | 13 | 40 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. |
| ramalingam | 299 | 299 | 56 | | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the jc. As per the ejc there are 6 members in this HH listed under one card whereas 4 members actually have different job card numbers. |
| rayal govindasamy | 424 | 424 | 41 | 35 | aarumugam and lakshmi are listed as members of the HH under the ejc whreas they do not belong to this HH. Rayal has not done any work at all in the past year yet he has entries of work in the ejc. However his wife sarasu's entries match. |
| saraswati | 1746 | 1746 | 6 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |
| azhagammal | 617 | 617 | 34 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |
| kavitha | 1604 | 1604 | 9 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |
| ramalingam | 459 | 459 | 49 | | the respondent has died 10 years ago but the ejc has work entries under his name. his wife kaliammal is deaf so the interview was conductes with his daughter in law methini, who also works under nrega but has a different jc no. |
| poorani | 1000 | 1000 | 32 | 40 | in the ejc the respondent's age is wrong and her daughter is listed as male and her age is also wrong. The age of the mother is less than that of the daughter as per the ejc. |
| angammal | 281 | 281 | 44 | 32 | there is a mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and the |
| muniyammal | 1058 | 1064 | 44 | | ejc and jc numbers do not match. Veeramuthu who is a part of the HH died 8 years ago but the ejc has work entries under his name. |
| vanithamani | 1402 | 1402 | 41 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |
| ashtalakshmi | 1693 | 1693 | 33 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |
| shobana | 2026 | 2026 | 1 | | there is mismatch in the days worked between the ejc and jc. |

| mangavarnam | 1249 | 1249 | 32 | the HH head anjalai for ejc no. 1178 was not available therefore her daughter in law mangavarnam who has a different ejc no. was interviewed. There is a mismatch in the days worked b/w the ejc and the jc. |
|-------------|------|------|----|---|
| revathy | 1 | 1 | 12 | only revathy and durai are members of the HH but the ejc has included 3 more people who actually belong to a different HH. Also only revathy has worked as per the jc but the ejc has entries of durai as well. |